Hierarchical SNR Scalable Video Coding with Adaptive Quantization for Reduced Drift Error

Roya Choupani1,2, Stephan Wong1 and Mehmet Tolun3
1Computer Engineering Department, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands
2Computer Engineering Department, Çankaya University, Ankara, Turkey
3Electrical Engineering Department, Aksaray University, Aksaray, Turkey

Keywords: Scalable Video Coding, Rate Distortion Optimization, Drift Error.

Abstract: In video coding, dependencies between frames are being exploited to achieve compression by only coding the differences. This dependency can potentially lead to decoding inaccuracies when there is a communication error, or a deliberate quality reduction due to reduced network or receiver capabilities. The dependency can start at the reference frame and progress through a chain of dependent frames within a group of pictures (GOP) resulting in the so-called drift error. Scalable video coding schemes should deal with such drift errors while maximizing the delivered video quality. In this paper, we present a multi-layer hierarchical structure for scalable video coding capable of reducing the drift error. Moreover, we propose an optimization to adaptively determine the quantization step size for the base and enhancement layers. In addition, we address the trade-off between the drift error and the coding efficiency. The improvements in terms of average PSNR values when one frame in a GOP is lost are 3.70(dB) when only the base layer is delivered, and 4.78(dB) when both the base and the enhancement layers are delivered. The improvements in presence of burst errors are 3.52(dB) when only the base layer is delivered, and 4.50(dB) when both base and enhancement layers are delivered.

1 INTRODUCTION

The scalability property of video coding provides the possibility of changing the video quality if it is required by network conditions or display device capabilities of the receiver. The scalability property of video is provided by multi-layer video coding through decomposition of the video into smaller units or layers (Adami et al., 2007). The first layer which includes the video content in its lowest quality (in terms of resolution, frame rate, or bits-per-pixel) is called the base layer. All other layers add to the quality of the video, and are called enhancement layers (Segall and Sullivan, 2007),(Schwarz et al., 2006). The order of including the layers in multi-layer video coding is important and a higher level layer cannot be utilized when the lower level layers are not present (Lan et al., 2007). A significant number of video coding methods using scalable video coding (SVC) schemes have been reported in literature (Segall, 2007),(Ohm, 2005),(Schwarz et al., 2007a),(Abanoz and Tekalp, 2009) and a comprehensive overview paper on SVC methods is presented in (Adami et al., 2007) and (Wien et al., 2007). State-of-the-art video coding methods however, utilize motion-compensated temporal filtering (MCTF), where each inter-coded video frame is encoded by predicting the motion of every macro-block with respect to a reference frame and encoding the differences or residues. When an MCTF-based SVC method delivers only some of the encoded video layers, the reconstructed frames will be different than the encoded frames. The difference $\Delta I'$ between the encoded frame $I$ and the reconstructed frame $I'$ increases at the subsequent decodings based on imperfectly reconstructed reference frames. This error which accumulates until an intra-coded frame is reached, is called the drift error. The drift error is the result of selective transmission where some of the DCT coefficients are eliminated, and/or re-quantized which changes the original quantized DCT coefficients (Yin et al., 2002). The drift error can occur in multi-layer scalable video coding methods if the decoder does not receive all enhancement layer data (Lee et al., 2004). Improving the robustness of SVC methods against packet loss through data redundancy (Abanoz and Tekalp, 2009) or selective protection of layers (Xiang et al., 2009),(LOPEZ-FUENTES, 2011) reduces the bit rate performance of the encoder.
some video coding standards such as H.263 and MPEG4 prefer drift-free solutions where the encoder performs motion prediction using only the base layer information. This means that the reconstruction will be error free if only the base layer is delivered. However, these solutions are provided with a reduction in performance. Other approaches that attempt to optimize the coding efficiency while minimizing the drift error have been proposed in literature (Reibman et al., 2001),(Regunathan et al., 2001). In (Seran and Kondi, 2007), the authors report a coding method which maintains two frame buffers in the encoder and decoder. These buffers are based on the base layer, and the base and enhancement layers. They initially use the base and enhancement layer buffer for encoding and decoding. Their method measures the drift error based on the channel information. When the drift error exceeds a predefined threshold, the method switches to the base layer buffer, assuming that the base layer is always available to the receiver. A similar method reported in (Reibman et al., 2003) balances the tradeoff between compression efficiency and the drift error. The authors assume two coding parameters, namely the quantizer and the prediction strategy. By selecting the appropriate parameter based on the network conditions, they try to optimize the video coding process. In (Yang et al., 2002), a method is proposed to minimize the rate distortion by utilizing the distortion feedback from the receiver. The authors assume the base and the enhancement layer macro-blocks can be encoded in different modes. They optimize the coding by choosing the quantization step and the coding mode for each macro-block.

The main problem with these methods is that the decision about optimizing the encoder parameters is made by considering the average value of drift error. As a result, the same parameter values are applied to all frames of a group of picture (GOP). However, since the drift error cannot propagate beyond a GOP, each frame contributes to the accumulation of error with a different rate. For instance, the last frame in a GOP has no impact on error accumulation while the error happening in the first frame propagates until the end of the current GOP. In this paper, we address the video quality degradation due to the drift error in SVC. We consider adjusting the coding parameters according to the network conditions and the frame position in the GOP. We propose a method to improve the coding efficiency in terms of the R-D ratio, while reducing the drift error whenever the reconstruction is performed using the base layer only. Moreover, we consider measurements to make the encoded video robust against single and multiple frame losses.

2 OPTIMIZING VIDEO ENCODER PERFORMANCE BY MINIMIZING THE DRIFT ERROR

Video coding optimization and visual quality preservation have conflicting requirements. Motion-compensation techniques for instance are not robust against frame losses and apt to quality loss due to the drift error. Our proposed method for reducing the drift error while preserving the coding efficiency is based on the following observations:

- The dependency of a frame to its preceding frame creates a chain of frames that are dependent on each other (dependency chain). The drift error has a direct correlation with the number of video frames in a dependency chain. On the other hand, a longer GOP provides a better I-frames to P/B-frame ratio and hence a smaller bit-per-pixel rate. In (Goldmann et al., 2010) the video quality degradation due to the drift error is analyzed subjectively. Although the quality degradation varies with the spatial details and the amounts of local motion, the quality of video drops below fair for GOP lengths greater than 5. The result of this analysis is compatible with our observation.

- The drift error also has direct correlation with the mismatch between the original frames and the reconstructed frames. When some part(s) of a frame data is lost or corrupted, the other parts are used for the frame reconstruction. In multi-layer SVC, the receiver may reconstruct the video using the base layer, or the base layer and some of the enhancement layers. Hence, the size of the enhancement layer(s) should be adjusted with the maximum tolerable distortion rate of the video.

Based on the above observations, the proposed method reduces the number of dependent frames by introducing a dyadic hierarchical structure. Besides, the amount of data in the base and enhancement layers is adjusted adaptively as a function of the location of the frame in the dependency chain. An optimum GOP length is sought after to minimize the drift error while preserving the performance of the encoder.
The amount of data transmitted in the enhancement layer(s) and the quality degradation due to the drift error are inversely proportional and hence, an optimum balance should be found for the best performance and the least distortion. In this paper we consider only one enhancement layer.

2.1 R-D Optimization in Hierarchical Coding of Video Frames

In the proposed multi-layer SVC, different quantization parameters are used in the base and the enhancement layers. The motion compensated blocks, which we refer to as residues, are transformed using DCT and quantized using two different quantization step-sizes. A fine quantization which produces larger quantized coefficients (considering the absolute values), and a coarse quantization which results in smaller quantized coefficients. We use the coarse quantization results as the base layer. The difference between the fine quantized coefficients and the coarse quantized coefficients are considered as the enhancement layer. The encoding and decoding processes can be expressed as shown in Equations 1 and 2 where BL and EL represent the base layer and the enhancement layer bitstreams, respectively.

\[
BL = VLC(Q(DCT(Residues), QP_b)) \\
EL = VLC(Q(DCT(Residues), QP_r)) \tag{1}
\]

Reconstruction using only the base layer (BL'), and the base and the enhancement layers (BEL') are shown in Equation 2.

\[
BL'(Residues) = IDCT(IQ(IVLC(BL), QP_b)) \\
BEL'(Residues) = IDCT(IQ(IVLC(BL), QP_r)) \tag{2}
\]

where \(QP_b\) and \(QP_r\) are the base layer and the enhancement layer quantization parameters, respectively, and IVLC is the inverse of the variable length coding process. As it is shown in Equation 2, the reconstructed frame is obtained from inverse discrete transform of the base and the enhancement layers quantized residues. Whenever the enhancement layer is not delivered, the reconstructed frame is deviated from the encoded frame. This deviation is a function of the amount of data in the base and the enhancement layers, which are determined by the quantization parameters of these layers namely \(QP_b\) and \(QP_r\), and the number of the frames in a dependency chain which indicates the propagation extent of the drift error. On the other hand, the bit rate of the base layer is a function of \(QP_b\). Hence, for a given bit rate, the optimized coding efficiency and lowest rate distortion depend on the \(QP_b\), \(QP_r\), and GOP length parameters. The drift error can be largely reduced by utilizing a hierarchical dyadic organization of the frames in a group of pictures which restricts the maximum error propagation range to \(\log_2 \text{GOP}\) (Schwarz et al., 2007b). Clearly, not all frames are used as a reference frame while some frames are used as reference for many frames. These observations lead us to adapt the quantization parameters \(QP_b\) and \(QP_r\) with the position of the frame in a GOP for each bit rate. This adaptation results in different distortion levels in the frames of a GOP while the average distortion is minimized. The rate distortion optimization in a GOP given the base layer and the enhancement layer quantization step-sizes is shown in Equation 3. We assumed the video contains only one enhancement layer however, it is readily extendable to include several enhancement layers.

\[
J(QP_b, QP_r, GOPlen, \rho) = \sum_{i = 1}^{GOPlen} D_i(QP_b(i), QP_r(i)) + \lambda R_i(QP_b(i), QP_r(i), \rho) \tag{3}
\]

where \(J\) is an auxiliary function denoting the optimization process, \(GOPlen\) is the number of frames in a GOP, \(\lambda\) is the Lagrange multiplier. \(D_i\) is the distortion and \(R_i\) is the bit rate of frame \(i\) when quantization parameters \(QP_b(i)\) and \(QP_r(i)\) are used, respectively. The optimization is carried out for a given bit rate, \(\rho\), and over a GOP. The summation in Equation 3 therefore, minimizes the total distortion of frames in a GOP, when their total bit rate is limited to \(\rho\). The length of the dependency chain is a determining factor in the total distortion of the video due to the drift error. Therefore, the rate distortion problem depends on the quantization parameters of each frame in a GOP, and the GOP length. Since we arrange the frames of a GOP in a dyadic hierarchical structure, each GOP contains many dependency chains which should be considered in optimization process.

2.2 The Scalability Features of the Proposed Method

Signal-to-noise (SNR) scalability in the proposed method is provided as a multi-layer coding of the frames where the number of layers determines the granularity of the video with the main feature of having a different approach for handling the drift error. For instance, the fine granularity quality scalable (FGS) coding in MPEG-4 was chosen so that the drift error is completely omitted by using base layer frames as reference frames in motion compensation. It is obvious that the drift free coding of MPEG-4 comes with a reduction in coding efficiency. However, our approach is based on balancing the bit rate
with the distortion caused by the drift error. The quantization parameters after decomposing the frames into the base and the enhancement layers is adapted in a way that in the frames which serve as reference for a larger number of frames, the enhancement layer is smaller and hence the inaccuracy with the original frame when the enhancement layer is missing becomes smaller.

Temporal scalability in the traditional video coding methods is achieved through placing some of the frames in the base layer and the rest in the enhancement layer(s). An important restriction in the temporal scalability feature of the traditional methods is that the number of layers determine the achievable temporal scalability rate(s). This means that a continuous temporal scalability is not feasible in these methods whereas, this feature is provided in the proposed method. The hierarchical organization of the frames is log₂(GOP), expressed in Equation 4.

\[ Q_{\text{StepB}}(i) = Q_{\text{StepE}}(i) + \frac{\Delta \text{QP}}{\log_2(\text{GOP}) - \text{Pos}_i} \times \Delta \text{QP} + Q_{\text{QP}} \]

where \( Q_{\text{StepB}} \) is the quantization step size used at the base layer (lowest quality), \( Q_{\text{StepE}} \) is the quantization step size used for the highest quality quantization (base + enhancement), \( i \) refers to the current frame in the GOP, \( \text{Pos}_i \) is the number of frames dependent on the current frame (frame i) in the longest frame dependency chain, \( \Delta \text{QP} \) is the step size increment, and \( \tau_{\text{QP}} \) is a constant used as the step size bias value. \( Q_{\text{StepE}} \) step size is incremented by adding the step size increment and then \( Q_{\text{StepB}} \) is optimized. Quantization matrices \( Q_p \) and \( Q_e \) are related to \( Q_{\text{StepB}} \) and \( Q_{\text{StepE}} \) as shown in Equation 5.

\[ Q_p = Q \times Q_{\text{StepB}} \]
\[ Q_e = Q \times Q_{\text{StepE}} \]

where \( Q \) is the default quantization table used by MPEG-4. To determine the optimum value for the step sizes, we iteratively tried different values of \( \Delta \text{QP} \) for GOP lengths of 8, 16, 32, and 64. The highest total quality in a GOP (minimum distortion) for a given bit rate is sought as the optimized quantization parameters which depend on the content of the frame in that GOP.

The proposed method is experimentally evaluated by comparing its performance against the following methods:

- Drift-free implementation where the base layer of the reference layer is used for motion prediction. Drift-free methods have the advantage of experiencing no distortion in terms of error accumulation when the enhancement layer is not delivered however, they suffer from coding performance.

- Hierarchical organizing the frames with a fixed quantization parameter optimized for the whole GOP. This experiment shows the gain we obtain by adaptively optimizing the quantization parameter which is the main contribution of the proposed method.

3 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The proposed method is experimentally verified using some video sequences. In order to verify the performance of our method, we need to determine the optimization parameters. Optimized quantization parameters for each frame are computed iteratively. As explained in Section 2.1 the QP for the base and the enhancement layer(s) are optimized to minimize the distortion due to the drift error for a given bit rate. The optimization variables are the quantization step size of each frame which is dependent on the position of the given frame in the frame dependency chain and the GOP length. Considering that the maximum length of a frame dependency chain in a dyadic hierarchical organization of the frames is \( \log_2(\text{GOP}) \), we express the QP for each frame as shown in Equation 4.

\[ Q_{\text{StepB}}(i) = Q_{\text{StepE}}(i) + \frac{\Delta \text{QP}}{\log_2(\text{GOP}) - \text{Pos}_i} \times \Delta \text{QP} + Q_{\text{QP}} \]

\[ Q_p = Q \times Q_{\text{StepB}} \]
\[ Q_e = Q \times Q_{\text{StepE}} \]

where \( Q_{\text{StepB}} \) is the quantization step size used at the base layer (lowest quality), \( Q_{\text{StepE}} \) is the quantization step size used for the highest quality quantization (base + enhancement), \( i \) refers to the current frame in the GOP, \( \text{Pos}_i \) is the number of frames dependent on the current frame (frame i) in the longest frame dependency chain, \( \Delta \text{QP} \) is the step size increment, and \( \tau_{\text{QP}} \) is a constant used as the step size bias value. \( Q_{\text{StepE}} \) step size is incremented by adding the step size increment and then \( Q_{\text{StepB}} \) is optimized. Quantization matrices \( Q_p \) and \( Q_e \) are related to \( Q_{\text{StepB}} \) and \( Q_{\text{StepE}} \) as shown in Equation 5.

\[ Q_p = Q \times Q_{\text{StepB}} \]
\[ Q_e = Q \times Q_{\text{StepE}} \]

where \( Q \) is the default quantization table used by MPEG-4. To determine the optimum value for the step sizes, we iteratively tried different values of \( \Delta \text{QP} \) for GOP lengths of 8, 16, 32, and 64. The highest total quality in a GOP (minimum distortion) for a given bit rate is sought as the optimized quantization parameters which depend on the content of the frame in that GOP.

The proposed method is experimentally evaluated by comparing its performance against the following methods:

- Drift-free implementation where the base layer of the reference layer is used for motion prediction. Drift-free methods have the advantage of experiencing no distortion in terms of error accumulation when the enhancement layer is not delivered however, they suffer from coding performance.

- Hierarchical organizing the frames with a fixed quantization parameter optimized for the whole GOP. This experiment shows the gain we obtain by adaptively optimizing the quantization parameter which is the main contribution of the proposed method.

Figure 1: The Dependency Chains in the Dyadic Hierarchical Structure for Multi-layer SNR Scalable Video Coding.
• The method proposed in (Yang et al., 2002) optimizes the rate distortion of SNR SVC video coder by determining the coding mode for each MB. Their assumption of using enhancement layer data of the reference frame for motion prediction of the current frame, and transmitting each frame in one packet are similar to our assumptions and hence makes a more realistic comparison possible.

• Verifying burst error effect. This experiment verifies the impact of single and burst errors when only base layer, and when both base and enhancement layers are delivered.

We measured the performance of the proposed method when the videos are scaled down and only the based layer is delivered. In this experiment, the videos are encoded using the proposed method with hierarchical frame organizations and adaptive quantization step size, and the sequential coding of the video with a fixed quantization step size. The proposed method outperforms the sequential video encoding by an average PSNR improvement of 2.86(dB). The PSNR values of the reconstructed frames for both methods have been depicted in Figure 2. The second set of experiments measures the performance of the proposed method compared to the drift-free method suggested in MPEG-4 (144962, 1998)(Peng et al., 2005), and the adaptive allocation method proposed in (Yang et al., 2002). The authors of (Yang et al., 2002) assume no data loss happens in the base layer. Therefore, the distortions feedback from the receiver are the result of losses at the enhancement layer and the drift error. Since our proposed method does not rely on the feedback from the receiver, we modified the method proposed in (Yang et al., 2002) to optimize for a given bit rate. We implemented their proposed low complexity sequential optimization method where the base layer and the enhancement layer are optimized sequentially, considering no error concealment and frame re-transmission in the network. We assume the videos are encoded for different bit-rates. Besides, a 10% frame loss is imposed in the transmissions where the position of the lost frames are randomly selected but are the same in all three methods. Figure 3 depicts the results of the comparison. The proposed method provides better performance than the drift-free sequential coding with fixed quantization, and adaptive bit-rate allocation proposed in (Yang et al., 2002). The main reason for the better performance of the proposed method is the shorter dependency chains in the GOPs. Since the drift error results in more serious quality degradation when the lost frame is farther from the end of the dependency chain, the proposed method experiences a lower level of performance loss.

Our final experiment evaluates the robustness of the proposed method in presence of the frame loss. The experiment includes two cases. In case one several frames at random positions of a GOP are lost. The reconstructed videos when some frames are missing are evaluated by measuring the PSNR values of:

• the base layer of the delivered frames only where we assume the videos are scaled down,

• and the base and the enhancement layers, in which case we assume the videos are transmitted without scaling down.

The comparative results are illustrated in Figures 4 and 5. The second case for robustness evaluation is considered to measure the video quality degradation in presence of burst errors. A burst error is defined as a sequence of missing frames with a length of 5 to 10 frames. Figures 6 and 7 depict the results of the burst error experiments. The results of the experiments indicate that the proposed method outperforms the traditional video coding methods in presence of frame losses. The average PSNR values when both the base and the enhancement layers are delivered are 31.36(dB) and 27.66(dB) in the proposed method and the standard video coding respectively. The average PSNR values when only the base layer is delivered are 30.58(dB) and 25.80(dB) at the pro-

![Figure 2: PSNR values of the reconstructed frames by the proposed method and the sequential coding method using base layer only.](image-url)

![Figure 3: PSNR at different bit rates with 10% frame loss.](image-url)
4 CONCLUSIONS

A new scalable video coding method for reducing drift error has been proposed. The proposed method utilizes the hierarchical organization of the video frames, and optimizes coding by adapting quantization step size of each frame according to its position in a GOP. The method is used for SNR, and temporal video scaling in presence of frame loss in noisy communication networks. The proposed method improves the performance of the SVC coder by relying on the observation that elimination of the drift error reduces the coding performance. Therefore, an optimization should be sought to reduce the distortion due to the drift error while preserving the quality of the transmitted video. The optimized video has a multi-layer SVC format where the enhancement layer size is adaptively changed according to the network conditions and the frame position in GOP for minimum distortion. The improvement attained by the proposed method is at least 3.52(dB) in terms of PSNR values.
REFERENCES