# Logic-Enhanced Memory for 3D Graphics Tile-Based Rasterizers

D.Crisu, S.D. Cotofana and S. Vassiliadis Computer Engineering Laboratory, EEMCS Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands Mekelweg 4, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands E-mail:{dan, sorin, stamatis}@cc.et.tudelft.nl

Abstract— An efficient logic-enhanced memory architecture to accelerate primitive traversal in 3D graphics tile-based rasterizers is presented. The memory contains the same number of bits as the number of pixels in the tile, and during rasterization time it is filled up in several clock cycles by a systolic primitive scanconversion subsystem with the stencil of the primitive: ones are written for memory locations that represent tile pixels covered by primitive, otherwise zeros are stored. Once the shape of the primitive has been coded inside the memory, the memory internal logic is capable of delivering, on request, up to four hit positions (positions inside the primitive) per clock cycle to the pixel processing pipelines, signaling when all the hit positions were consumed. The logic-enhanced memory architecture presents the following benefits: it handles "ghost" primitives efficiently, hit positions are communicated in a spatial pattern that increases the hit ratio of texture caches in pull texture architectures, and hit positions can always be mapped to different memory banks in the Z-buffer or color-buffer breaking the "read-modify-write" dependency associated with depth test and color blending, thus allowing efficient pipelining. Hardware implementation in a typical 0.18µm process technology for a QVGA 3D graphics hardware accelerator with a tile size of  $32 \times 16$  pixels has indicated that the memory can be clocked at 200MHz and consumes an area of  $120000\mu m^2$ .

### I. INTRODUCTION

The challenge posed by the formidable cost constraints on products for the mobile consumer market requires a new breed of graphics rendering hardware with very low power consumption and implementation costs which precludes the utilization of the advanced features and the high throughput achieved in high-end systems. To fulfil these design constraints, tiling or chunking architectures [1] were proposed as a way to save memory bandwidth on framebuffer accesses, since an external memory access typically is one of the most energy-consuming operations, and to counteract the huge increase in storage required by full-scene antialiasing. In a tiling architecture, the screen is divided in a number of non-overlapping regions, or tiles, which are processed serially. Every frame, primitive geometry is sorted first by screen location and dumped into one or more bins, one bin per tile. Geometry that overlaps a tile boundary is referenced in each tile it is visible in. When all the primitive geometry has been specified, it is rendered from bin N to the tile N before moving to the tile N + 1. The advantage of the tile-based architectures is that all the data (colors, depth) can be maintained in on-chip tile-sized buffers and accesses to external memories are required only to dump the tile color buffer content to the global off-chip frame buffer when all the primitive geometry for the current processed tile at the current frame was rasterized.

In traditional full-screen architectures efficient rasterization algorithms [2][3] are based on edge functions [4] and rely on P. Liuha Nokia Research Center Visiokatu-1, SF-33720 Tampere, Finland E-mail: petri.liuha@nokia.com



Fig. 1. "Ghost" triangle for tiles (0, 2), (1, 0), (2, 0), and (2, 2).

the following paradigm: while not all the positions inside the primitive are exhausted do 1) save the rasterization context, 2) move to a new rasterization position, 3) test the edge functions value for that position to see if the position is a hit, 4) if it is inside communicate this hit position to the pixel processing pipelines and update the rasterization context else restore the rasterization context, 5) based on the edge functions computed earlier try to predict a new hit position.

The main difficulty in tile-based rasterization with this algorithm is to find the first hit position in the to be rasterized primitive, from our experiments the overhead can be 50%-300% (including testing if any of the primitive vertices or the primitive center of gravity are in the current rasterized tile to be considered the starting rasterization position or the hit point). In addition there is always overhead associated with "ghost" primitives (depicted in Figure 1), primitives that are assigned to the current tile when they have nothing in common with it (this is due to the simple software driver algorithm that assigns primitives to tiles based on a primitive bounding box test; other more complex tests in the software driver were envisaged eliminating the "ghost" primitive problem completely but moving the costs to software). In full-screen rasterization this overhead is inexistent due to the fact that a starting point inside the primitive can always be found, e.g., the center of gravity.

In addition, several studies [5]–[7] have revealed that the primitive pixel rasterization order is crucial for low-cost tilebased architectures that don't have dedicated texture memories (pull texture architectures) and are relying on a robust texture cache hit ratio to reduce the latency and energy consumption of texel fetches from the external system memory.

To overcome the previously mentioned problems we propose an efficient logic-enhanced memory architecture to accelerate primitive traversal in 3D graphics tile-based rasterizers. The memory contains the same number of bits as the number of pixels in the tile, and during rasterization time it is filled up in several clock cycles by a systolic primitive scan-conversion subsystem with the stencil of the primitive: ones are written for memory locations that represent tile pixels covered by primitive and zeros for the rest. Once the shape of the primitive has been coded inside the memory, the memory internal logic is capable to deliver, on request, to the pixel processing pipelines at least one and up to four hit positions per clock cycle while signaling



Fig. 2. Proposed pixel rasterization order in tile.

when all the hit positions were consumed or if none existed. The logic-enhanced memory architecture presents the following benefits: it handles "ghost" primitives efficiently, hit positions are communicated in a spatial pattern that increases the hit ratio of texture caches in pull texture architectures, and hit positions can always be mapped to different memory banks in the Z-buffer or color-buffer breaking the "read-modify-write" dependency associated with depth test and color blending thus allowing efficient pipelining. Hardware implementation in a typical 0.18 $\mu$ m process technology for a QVGA 3D graphics hardware accelerator with a tile size of 32 × 16 pixels has indicated that the memory can be clocked at 200MHz and consumes an area of 120000 $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The logicenhanced memory architecture is described in Section II. In Section III, hardware implementation results are presented. Finally, in Section IV, the conclusions are drawn.

#### II. LOGIC-ENHANCED MEMORY ARCHITECTURE

The quest to an efficient hardware algorithm for rasterization has to start from finding a suitable pixel rasterization order. In Figure 2 the pixel grid of the tile around the origin of the tile coordinate system is depicted and a space-filling path indicated with arrows starting from the origin is presented. Space-filling paths are known to improve the texel coherency generating high hit-ratio in texture caches [1]. In addition, if  $2 \times 2$  regions of fragments can be generated during rasterization they can be mapped on different memory banks A, B, C, D. Supposing that the shape or stencil of a triangle has been already coded in a memory representing the bi-dimensional tile, now hit locations have to be forwarded to the pixel processing pipeline. The most appropriate way to select between many hit locations according to the space-filling path traversal order is via priority encoding. After the hit location was communicated, the bit for that location has to be reset in order for a priority encoding scheme to work further. Referring to Figure 2, an (x, y) offset position can be encoded in terms of block positions ( $8 \times 8$  fragment regions), group positions  $(4 \times 4 \text{ fragment regions})$ , quad positions  $(2 \times 2 \text{ fragment re-}$ gions), and positions in quad. Assuming a  $32 \times 16$  pixel tile, the location  $(x, y) = (x_4x_3x_2x_1x_0, y_3y_2y_1y_0)$  can be encoded as  $(Block, Group, Quad, Pos) = (y_3x_4x_3, y_2x_2, y_1x_1, y_0x_0).$  With this encoding, priority can be restated hierarchically: hit locations in a block (respectively group, quad) encountered earlier on the space-filling path have a higher priority than any hit locations in a block (respectively group, quad) encountered later on the path (see Figure 3).

In the following a logic-enhanced memory architecture based on a hierarchical priority encoding scheme supporting a tile

Fig. 3. Pixel and Quad coding.

size of  $32 \times 16$  pixels is presented. The memory is used in conjunction with a systolic primitive scan-conversion subsystem, using edge functions, that works on a sliding window of  $8 \times 8$  locations (a block) and outputs every clock cycle the primitive shape (encoded with one bit per location) for a different  $4 \times 4$  pixel region (a group) inside the currently processed block. The sliding window is moved according to the space-filling path traversal order until all the tile locations are exhausted, also the groups generated by the systolic subsystem on the block-sized window are output according to the spacefilling path traversal order. The logic-enhanced memory has a word line width equal to the size of a group (16 bits) and is capable of working back-to-back with the systolic subsystem meaning that every clock cycle the primitive shape for a group of locations is transfered inside the memory. The memory contains 32 wordlines (1tile=8blocks×4groups) and can be filled up by the systolic subsystem with the primitive shape in 32 clock cycles. The systolic subsystem sliding window size was designed to lead to hardware costs that match the hardware size of a full-screen rasterizer, therefore larger sizes, although benefic from a performance viewpoint, were considered too costly. The systolic subsystem is described in detail in [8]. Once the shape of the primitive has been completely transferred to the logic-enhanced memory, quads which contain at least a hit location (less than four hit locations if the quad is situated on the primitive edges) will be output at a rate of one per cycle to the pixel procesing pipeline in the proper space-filling rasterization order until all the hit locations are exhausted. The memory output interface includes the individual bits of the quad, the encoding of the quad in the (Block, Group, Quad) format, and a signal that indicates if all the hit locations were transferred out. The (Block, Group, Quad) format is suitable for computations in the subsequent pixel processing stages resulting only in multi-operand additions that can be implemented efficiently as described in [8].

The logic-enhanced memory architecture is presented in Figures 4, 5, and 6. The memory contains 32 wordlines, each wordline contains a group, each group contains four quads, and each quad contains four locations bits. An entire group can be written per clock cycle but only one quad can be read out per clock cycle. For write operations the memory behavior is identical to any CMOS SRAM read/write memory thus it will not be described in detail; further the differential bit lines used for writing are omitted from the drawings. When a quad containing hit locations is requested, the priority tokens of all quad words are transmitted to the group priority encoder, and all group priority tokens are transmitted to the global priority encoder. After a decision is taken by the global priority encoder on the highest priority quad containing hit locations, information is bounced back only to the group word line containing the quad, the quad being read out and its non-zero bits reset to prepare the memory for the next read. The location information is stored using static RAM bit cells but the logic circuitry is implemented in domino dynamic logic clocked by the precharge signal Pre. The priority encoders are similar to the high-speed low-power n-type



Fig. 5. Group Cell.

domino logic design described in [9]: the 4-bit group priority encoder has one-level lookahead and the 32-bit global priority encoder is constructed from 8-bit priority encoders connected through the third-level lookahead signals.

In Figure 4 the Quad Cell circuit diagram is presented where four locations bit cells are depicted. Each bit cell consists of a storage cell (transistors M1, M2, M3, M4 and the two crosscoupled inverters), one of the four parallel transistors (M8) of a distributed domino four-input OR gate (that includes additionally transistors M9 and M10), the conditional read circuitry (transistors M5, M6) and the reset transistor M7. For write operation, when the signal GrpWrEn is asserted, one of the two storage nodes is pulled down, and the other is pulled up. This requires the pullup in both inverters to be weaker than the series pulldown transistors. The storage cell is write-only because the conditional read signal is formed internally based on the content of the storage cell and the signal QuadRdClr formed outside the Quad Cell (see Figure 5). The role of the OR gate is to detect if hit locations are stored in the quad cell, then the signal QuadNZ will participate in priority encoding in the Group Cell. If based on the priority encoding scheme the quad contains the hit locations with the highest priority in the memory, the signal QuadRdClr will be asserted for the read and clear operation on the quad bits. The static delay buffer DLY\_BUFF insures that is enough separation in time between reading the quad bits (the precharged read bit line InTriR can be discharged enough to be detected as logic 0 by the charge-redistribution amplifiers) and clearing the quad bits. The size required for DLY\_BUFF is small because the memory has only 32 word lines.

The role of the Group Cell additional logic circuitry presented in Figure 5 is to pass forward the QuadNZ signals from the four Quad Cells to the group priority encoder. GrpNZ is connected to the lookahead ouput port (LA) of the group priority encoder and signals that at least one of the Quad cells contains hit locations and this is input in the global priority encoder. If the global priority encoder decides that the Group Cell has the highest priority among the other Group Cells the signal GrpPri is asserted. GrpPri together with the priority encoded lines EP from the group priority encoder is anded using four two-input domino AND gates forming QuadRdClr signals for the Quad Cells. In addition two domino OAI gates are used to form the quad code — if a quad having the highest priority exists in the Group Cell then two precharged bit lines are discharged broadcasting the quad code to the memory output.

Finally, in Figure 6 the block diagram of the logic-enhanced memory is presented. The signals from the Group Cells are input in the global priority encoder that decides which one of the Group Cells has the highest priority. MoreNZQuadsLeft is connected to the lookahead ouput port (LA) of the global priority encoder and signals that at least one of the Quad cells contains hit locations, therefore indicating outside the memory if there are any hit locations left. The quad code returned is used for multiplexing the highest priority quad bits from the highest priority Group Cell, and logic similar to that presented in Figure 5 is used to generate the block code and group code outputs. The memory input interface contains the GetNZQuad



Fig. 6. Logic-enhanced memory architecture.

TABLE I Logic-Enhanced Memory Hardware Implementation Results

IC Technology	UMC Logic18-1.8V/3.3V-1P6M
Critical Path Latency [ns]	2.387
Area [µm <sup>2</sup> ]	
Bit Cell	144
Quad Cell	636
Group Cell	2894
Total (including paripharal airquitry)	112025

signal that has to be asserted in order for a quad with hit locations to be read out. The rest of the circuitry is identical to any CMOS SRAM read/write memory and thus it is not described in further details.

# III. HARDWARE IMPLEMENTATION RESULTS

The logic-enhanced memory was designed at the physical level in a commercial  $0.18\mu$ m IC manufacturing technology. After the parasitics were extracted from layout the annotated circuits composing the critical path (starting in Quad Cell 11 of the Group Cell 31, going through the global priority encoder than back in the originating cell, than to the Quad\_11 Bit Amplifiers, and finally reaching the Quad output port) were simulated using the HSPICE circuit simulator. The results are reported in Table I. The critical path latency translates in a maximum clock frequency of 200 MHz assuming that the precharge and the evaluation phase take half the clock cycle.

## **IV.** CONCLUSIONS

An efficient logic-enhanced memory architecture to accelerate primitive traversal in 3D graphics tile-based rasterizers was presented. The memory contains the same number of bits as the number of pixels in the tile, and during rasterization time it is filled up in several clock cycles by a systolic primitive scanconversion subsystem with the stencil of the primitive: ones are written for memory locations that represent tile pixels covered by primitive, and zeros for the rest of locations. Once the shape of the primitive has been coded inside the memory, the memory internal logic is capable of delivering, on request, at least one and up to four hit positions (positions inside the primitive) per clock cycle to the pixel processing pipelines, signaling when all the hit positions were consumed or if none initially existed. The logic-enhanced memory architecture presents the following benefits: it handles "ghost" primitives efficiently, hit positions are communicated in a spatial pattern that increases the hit ratio of texture caches in pull texture architectures, and hit positions can always be mapped to different memory banks in the Z-buffer or color-buffer breaking the "read-modify-write" dependency associated with depth test and color blending thus allowing efficient pipelining. Hardware implementation in a typical 0.18 $\mu$ m process technology for a QVGA 3D graphics hardware accelerator with a tile size of 32 × 16 pixels has indicated that the memory can be clocked at 200MHz and consumes an area of 12000 $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>.

#### References

- [1] T. Akenine-Möller and E. Haines, *Real-Time Rendering*, A K Peters, Ltd., 2002.
- [2] M.D. Waller, J.P. Ewins, M. White, and P.F. Lister, "Efficient primitive traversal using adaptive linear edge function algorithms," *Computer & Graphics*, vol. 23, pp. 365–375, 1999.
- [3] J. McCormack and R. McNamara, "Tiled Polygon Traversal Using Half-Plane Edge Functions," in *Proceedings of the 2000 SIG-GRAPH/EUROGRAPHICS Workshop on Graphics Hardware*, 2000, pp. 15–21.
- [4] J. Pineda, "A Parallel Algorithm for Polygon Rasterization," Computer Graphics (ACM SIGGRAPH '88 Conference Proceedings), vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 17–20, 1988.
- [5] Ziyad S. Hakura and Anoop Gupta, "The Design and Analysis of a Cache Architecture for Texture Mapping," in *Proceedings of the 24th International Symposium on Computer Architecture*. 1997, pp. 108–120, ACM Press.
- [6] Michael Cox, Narendra Bhandari, and Michael Shantz, "Multi-Level Texture Caching for 3D Graphics Hardware," in *Proceedings of the 25th Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture*. 1998, pp. 86– 97, IEEE Press.
- [7] Homan Igehy, Matthew Eldridge, and Kekoa Proudfoot, "Prefetching in a Texture Cache Architecture," in *Proceedings of the 1998 EUROGRAPH-ICS/SIGGRAPH Workshop on Graphics Hardware*. 1998, pp. 133–142, ACM Press.
- [8] D. Crisu, S. Cotofana, and S. Vassiliadis, "A Proposal of a Tile-Based OpenGL Compliant Rasterization Engine - Progress Report," Tech. Rep. (2004-01), Computer Engineering Laboratory, EEMCS, Delft University of Technology, Mar. 2004.
- [9] C.-H. Huang, J.-S. Wang, and Y.-C. Huang, "Design of High-Performance CMOS Priority Encoders and Incrementers/Decrementers Using Multilevel Lookahead and Multilevel Folding Techniques," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 63–76, Jan. 2002.